

BIBLIOGRAFIA

REFERENCES

RIFERIMENTI BIBLIOGRAFICI DI QUESTO VOLUME

1. Cochrane AL. Effectiveness and efficiency: random reflections on health services. London, Nuffield Provincial Hospitals Trust, 1972.
2. Clinical Evidence. What conclusions has Clinical Evidence drawn about what works, what doesn't based on randomised controlled trial evidence? Disponibile all'indirizzo: <http://clinicalevidence.bmj.com/x/set/static/cms/efficacy-categorisations.html> (Ultimo accesso: 30.05.2017).
3. Decreto Ministeriale n.70 del 02.04.2015 "Regolamento recante definizione degli standard qualitativi, strutturali, tecnologici e quantitativi relativi all'assistenza ospedaliera". Gazzetta Ufficiale n.127 del 04.06.2015.
4. Amato L, Colais P, Davoli M et al. Volumi di attività ed esiti delle cure: prove scientifiche in letteratura ed evidenze empiriche in Italia. *Epidemiol Prev* 2013; 37(2-3) Suppl 2:1-100.
5. Programma valutazione esiti (PNE). Disponibile all'indirizzo http://95.110.213.190/PNEedizione16_p/
6. Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA et al. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2007, 7:10.
7. Royston P, Sauerbrei W. *Multivariable Model-Building: A pragmatic approach to regression analysis based on fractional polynomials for modelling continuous variables*. Wiley 2008.
8. Decreto ministeriale 7 dicembre 2016, n. 261 "Regolamento recante modifiche ed integrazioni del decreto 27 ottobre 2000, n. 380 e successive modificazioni, concernente la scheda di dimissione ospedaliera". Gazzetta Ufficiale n.31 del 07.02.2017
9. Spaliviero M, Eastham JA. Relationship between surgical volume and patient outcomes. *Trends Urology & Men Health* 2015;6:7-12.
10. Muggoe VM. Segmented: An R package to Fit Regression Models with Broken-Line Relationships. *R News* 2008;8(1):20-25.
11. Colais P, Di Martino M, Fusco D, Davoli M, Aylin P, Perucci CA. Using clinical variables and drug prescription data to control for confounding in outcome comparisons between hospitals. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:495.

RIFERIMENTI BIBLIOGRAFICI DELLE REVISIONI INCLUSE

- Archampong D, Borowski DW, Dickinson HO. Impact of surgeon volume on outcomes of rectal cancer surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surgeon* 2010;8(6):341-52.
- Archampong D, Borowski D, Wille-Jørgensen P, Iversen LH. Workload and surgeon's specialty for outcome after colorectal cancer surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;14(3):CD005391.
- Awopetu AI, Moxey P, Hinchliffe RJ et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the relationship between hospital volume and outcome for lower limb arterial surgery. *Br J Surg* 2010;97(6):797-803.
- Barocas DA, Mitchell R, Chang SS et al. Impact of surgeon and hospital volume on outcomes of radical prostatectomy. *Urol Oncol* 2010;28(3):243-50.
- Boogaarts HD, van Amerongen MJ, de Vries J et al. Caseload as a factor for outcome in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurosurg* 2014;120(3):605-11.
- Brusselaers N, Mattsson F, Lagergren J. Hospital and surgeon volume in relation to long-term survival after oesophagectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gut* 2014;63(9):1393-400. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306074. Review.
- Caputo LM, Salottolo KM, Slone DS, Mains CW, Bar-Or D. The relationship between

tween patient volume and mortality in American trauma centres: a systematic review of the evidence. *Injury* 2014;45(3):478-86. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2013.09.038.

- Doll KM, Milad MP, Gossett DR. J Surgeon volume and outcomes in benign hysterectomy. *Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2013;20(5):554-61. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2013.03.005.
- Du Bois, Rochon J, Pfisterer J, Hoskins WJ. Variations in institutional infrastructure, physician specialization and experience, and outcome in ovarian cancer: a systematic review. *Gynecol Oncol* 2009;112(2):422-36. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2008.09.036.
- Dudley RA, Johansen KL, Brand R, Rennie DJ, Milstein A. Selective referral to high-volume hospitals: estimating potentially avoidable deaths. *JAMA* 2000; 283(9):1159-66.
- Eskander A, Merdad M, Irish JC et al. Volume-outcome associations in head and neck cancer treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Head Neck* 2014;36(12):1820-34. doi: 10.1002/hed.23498.
- Gandjour A, Bannerberg A, Lauterbach KW. Threshold volumes associated with higher survival in health care. *Med Care* 2003;41(10):1129-41.
- Garcea G, Breukink SO, Marlow NE et al. A systematic review of the impact of volume of hepatic surgery on patient outcome. *Surgery* 2009;145(5):467-75.
- Gooiker GA, van Gijn W, Post PN, van de Velde CJ, Tollenaar RA, Wouters MW. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the volume-outcome relationship in the surgical treatment of breast cancer. Are breast cancer patients better off with a high volume provider? *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2010;36 Suppl 1:S27-35.
- Gooiker GA, van Gijn W, Wouters MW, Post PN, van de Velde CJ, Tollenaar RA; Signalling Committee Cancer of the Dutch Cancer Society. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the volume-outcome relationship in pancreatic surgery. *Br J Surg* 2011;98(4):485-94.
- Goossens-Laan CA, Gooiker GA, van Gijn W et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the relationship between hospital/surgeon volume and outcome for radical cystectomy: an update for the ongoing debate. *Eur Urol* 2011;59(5):775-83.
- Gori D, Tedesco D, Goggi R, Lombardi R, Fantini MP. La relazione fra volumi e mortalità a 30 giorni nella chirurgia oncologica di esofago e stomaco: revisione della letteratura e metanalisi. *Epidemiol Prev* 2014;38(3-4):167-75.
- Gruen RL, Pitt V, Green S, Parkhill A, Campbell D, Jolley D. The effect of provider case volume on cancer mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2009;59(3):192-211.
- Gu WJ, Wu XD, Zhou Q, Zhang J, Wang F, Ma ZL, Gu XP. Relationship between Annualized Case Volume and Mortality in Sepsis: A Dose-Response Meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology* 2016;125(1):168-79. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000001133.
- Halm EA, Lee C, Chassin MR. Is volume related to outcome in health care? A systematic review and methodologic critique of the literature. *Ann Intern Med* 2002;137(6):511-20.
- Handford CD, Rackal JM, Tynan AM, Rzeznikiewicz D, Glazier RH. The association of hospital, clinic and provider volume with HIV/AIDS care and mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS Care* 2012;24(3):267-82.
- Hata T, Motoi F, Ishida M et al. Effect of Hospital Volume on Surgical Outcomes After Pancreaticoduodenectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 2016;263(4):664-72. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000001437.
- Henebiens M, van den Broek TA, Vahl AC, Koelemay MJ. Relation between hospital volume and outcome of elective surgery for abdominal aortic aneurysm: a systematic review. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2007;33(3):285-92.

- Hodgson DC, Fuchs CS, Ayanian JZ. Impact of patients and provider characteristics on the treatment and outcomes of colorectal cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001;93(7):501-15.
- Holscher AH, Metzger R, Brabender J, Vallbohmer D, Bollschweiler E. High-volume centers – effect of caseload on outcome in cancer surgery. *Onkologie* 2004; 27(4):412-16.
- Holt PJ, Poloniecki JD, Gerrard D, Loftus IM, Thompson MM. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the relationship between volume and outcome in abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. *Br J Surg* 2007a;94(4):395-403.
- Holt PJ, Poloniecki JD, Loftus IM, Thompson MM. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the relationship between hospital volume and outcome following carotid endarterectomy. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2007b;33(6):645-51.
- Iversen LH, Harling H, Laurberg S, Wille-Jørgensen P. Influence of caseload and surgical speciality on outcome following surgery for colorectal cancer: a review of evidence. Part 1: short-term outcome. *Colorectal Dis* 2007;9(1):28-37.
- Iversen LH, Harling H, Laurberg S, Wille-Jørgensen P. Influence of caseload and surgical speciality on outcome following surgery for colorectal cancer: a review of evidence. Part 2: long-term outcome. *Colorectal Dis* 2007;9(1):38-46.
- Kalant N, Shrier I. Volume and outcome of coronary artery bypass graft surgery: are more and less the same? *Can J Cardiol* 2004;20(1):81-86.
- Kanhere MH, Kanhere HA, Cameron A, Maddern GJ. Does patient volume affect clinical outcomes in adult intensive care units? *Intensive Care Med* 2012; 38(5):741-51. doi: 10.1007/s00134-012-2519-y.
- Killeen SD, O'Sullivan MJ, Coffey JC, Kirwan WO, Redmond HP. Provider volume and outcomes for oncological procedures. *Br J Surg* 2005;92(4):389-402.
- Killeen SD, Andrews EJ, Redmond HP, Fulton GJ. Provider volume and outcomes for abdominal aortic aneurysm repair, carotid endarterectomy, and lower extremity revascularization procedures. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;45(3):615-26.
- Knops RR, van Dalen EC, Mulder RL et al. The volume effect in paediatric oncology: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol* 2013;24(7):1749-53. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mds656.
- Lau RL, Perruccio AV, Gandhi R, Mahomed NN. The role of surgeon volume on patient outcome in total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review of the literature. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13:250. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-13-250.
- Lauder CI, Marlow NE, Maddern GJ et al. Systematic review of the impact of volume of oesophagectomy on patient outcome. *ANZ J Surg* 2010;80(5):317-23.
- Liang TJ, Liu SI, Mok KT, Shi HY. Associations of Volume and Thyroidectomy Outcomes: A Nationwide Study with Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2016;155(1):65-75. doi: 10.1177/0194599816634627.
- Lin X, Tao H, Cai M, Liao A, Cheng Z, Lin H. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Relationship between Hospital Volume and the Outcomes of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95(5):e2687. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000002687.
- Ling D. Relationship of patient volume and stroke outcomes: a rapid review. Toronto, ON: Health Quality Ontario, 2012; 25 p. Disponibile all'indirizzo: www.hqontario.ca/evidence/publications-and-ohac-recommendations/rapid-reviews.
- Markar SR, Penna M, Karthikesalingam A, Hashemi M. The impact of hospital and surgeon volume on clinical outcome following bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg* 2012a;22(7):1126-34. doi: 10.1007/s11695-012-0639-7.
- Markar SR, Karthikesalingam A, Thrumurthy S, Low DE. Volume outcome relationship in surgery for esophageal malignancy: systematic review and meta-analysis 2000-2011. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2012b;16(5):1055-63.
- Marlow NE, Barraclough B, Collier NA et al. Centralization and the relationship between volume and outcome in knee arthroplasty procedures. *ANZ J Surg* 2010a;80(4):234-41.
- Marlow NE, Barraclough B, Collier NA et al. Effect of hospital and surgeon volume on patient outcomes following treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysms: a systematic review. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2010b;40(5):572-79.
- Mayer EK, Purkayastha S, Athanasios T, Darzi A, Vale JA. Assessing the quality of the volume-outcome relationship in uro-oncology. *BJU Int* 2009;103(3):341-49.
- McAteer JP, LaRiviere CA, Drugas GT, Abdullah F, Oldham KT, Goldin AB. Influence of surgeon experience, hospital volume, and specialty designation on outcomes in pediatric surgery: a systematic review. *JAMA Pediatr* 2013;167(5):468-75. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.25.
- Meyer HJ. The influence of caseload and the extent of resection on the quality of treatment outcome in gastric cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2005;31(6):595-604.
- Moschini M, Simone G, Stenzl C, Gill D, Cattoe J. Critical Review of Outcomes from Radical Cystectomy: Can Complications from Radical Cystectomy Be Reduced by Surgical Volume and Robotic Surgery? *EU Focus* 2016;2(1):19-29.
- Mowat A, Maher C, Ballard E. Surgical outcomes for low-volume vs high-volume surgeons in gynecology surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2016;215(1):21-33. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.02.048.
- Nguyen YL, Wallace DJ, Yordanov Y et al. The Volume-Outcome Relationship in Critical Care: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Chest* 2015;148(1):79-92. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-2195.
- Nugent E, Neary P. Rectal cancer surgery: volume-outcome analysis. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2010;25(12):1389-96.
- Nuttall M, van der Meulen J, Phillips N et al. A systematic review and critique of the literature relating hospital or surgeon volume to health outcomes for 3 urological cancer procedures. *J Urol* 2004;172(6 Pt 1):2145-52.
- Phillips P, Poku E, Essat M et al. Procedure Volume and the Association with Short-term Mortality Following Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair in European Populations: A Systematic Review. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2017;53(1):77-88. doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2016.10.007.
- Pieper D, Mathes T, Asfour B. A systematic review of the impact of volume of surgery and specialization in Norwood procedure. *BMC Pediatr* 2014;14:198. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-198.
- Pieper D, Mathes T, Marshall MR. A systematic review of the impact of center volume in dialysis. *BMC Res Notes* 2015;8:812. doi: 10.1186/s13104-015-1785-5.
- Pla R, Pons JM, Gonzalez JR, Borrás JM. Hay niveles asistenciales en cirugía oncológica? Los que mas hacen, lo hacen mejor? Informe sobre la relación entre volumen de procedimientos y resultados en cirugía oncológica. Barcelona, Agència d'Avaluació de Tecnologia i Recerca Mèdiques, CatSalut, Departament de Sanitat i Seguretat Social, Generalitat de Catalunya, 2003; pp. 1-59.
- Post PN, Kuijpers M, Ebels T, Zijlstra F. The relation between volume and outcome of coronary interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31(16):1985-92.
- Preston L, Turner J, Booth A et al. Is there a relationship between surgical case volume and mortality in congenital heart disease services? A rapid evidence review. *BMJ Open* 2015;5(12):e009252. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009252.
- Prokopetz JJ, Losina E, Bliss RL, Wright J, Baron JA, Katz JN. Risk factors for revision of primary total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13:251. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-13-251.
- Richardson AJ, Pang TC, Johnston E, Hollands MJ, Lam VW, Pleass HC. The volume effect in liver surgery – a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2013;17(11):1984-96. doi: 10.1007/s11605-013-2314-2.
- Rouvelas I, Lagergren J. The impact of volume on outcomes after oesophageal cancer surgery. *ANZ J Surg* 2010;80(9):634-41.
- Salz T, Sandler RS. The effect of hospital and surgeon volume on outcomes for rectal cancer surgery. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;6(11):1185-93.
- Sepehripour AH, Athanasios T. Is there a surgeon or hospital volume-outcome relationship in off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2013;16(2):202-7. doi: 10.1093/icvts/ivs448.
- Seror J, Guillot E, Genin AS et al. Effectiveness of “threshold” in the management of ovarian cancer: A review of the literature. *Bull Cancer* 2016;103(6):513-23. doi: 10.1016/j.bulcan.2016.03.010.
- Stengel DD, Ekkernkamp A, Dettori J, Hanson B, Sturmer KM, Siebert H. A rapid review of associations between provider volume and outcome of total knee arthroplasty. Where do the magical threshold values come from? *Unfallchirurg* 2004;107(10):967-88.
- Strom JB, Wimmer NJ, Wasfy JH, Kennedy K, Yeh RW. Association between operator procedure volume and patient outcomes in percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2014;7(4):560-66. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.114.000884.
- Trinh QD, Bjartell A, Freedland SJ et al. A systematic review of the volume-outcome relationship for radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol* 2013;64(5):786-98. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2013.04.012.
- Troeng T. Volume versus outcome when treating abdominal aortic aneurysm electively – is there evidence to centralise? *Scand J Surg* 2008;97(2):154-59; discussion 159-60.
- Turner J, Preston L, Booth A et al. Health Services and Delivery Research. What evidence is there for a relationship between organisational features and patient outcomes in congenital heart disease services? A rapid review. Southampton (UK): NIHR Journals Library Copyright (c) Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2014. NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK; 2014.
- van Gijn W, Gooiker GA, Wouters MW, Post PN, Tollenaar RA, van de Velde CJ. Volume and outcome in colorectal cancer surgery. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2010;36 Suppl 1:S55-63.
- van Heek NT, Kuhlmann KF, Scholten RJ et al. Hospital volume and mortality after pancreatic resection: a systematic review and an evaluation of intervention in the Netherlands. *Ann Surg* 2005;242(6):781-88; discussion 788-90.

- Vespa P, Diringner MN. High-volume centers. *Neurocrit Care* 2011;15(2):369-72.
- von Meyenfeldt EM, Gooiker GA, van Gijn W et al. The relationship between volume or surgeon specialty and outcome in the surgical treatment of lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7(7):1170-8. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e318257cc45.
- Weitz J, Koch M, Friess H, Büchler MW. Impact of volume and specialization for cancer surgery. *Dig Surg* 2004;21(4):253-61.
- Wilson A, Marlow NE, Maddern GJ et al. Radical prostatectomy: a systematic review of the impact of hospital and surgeon volume on patient outcome. *ANZ J Surg* 2010;80(1-2):24-29.
- Wilt TJ, Lederle FA, Macdonald R, Jonk YC, Rector TS, Kane RL. Comparison of endovascular and open surgical repairs for abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep)* 2006;(144):1-113.
- Wilt TJ, Shamliyan TA, Taylor BC, MacDonald R, Kane RL. Association between hospital and surgeon radical prostatectomy volume and patient outcomes: a systematic review. *J Urol* 2008;180(3):820-28; discussion 828-29.
- Wouters MW, Gooiker GA, van Sandick JW, Tollenaar RA. The volume-outcome relation in the surgical treatment of esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer* 2012;118(7):1754-63.
- Wouters MW, Krijnen P, Le Cessie S et al. Volume- or outcome-based referral to improve quality of care for esophageal cancer surgery in The Netherlands. *J Surg Oncol* 2009;99(8):481-87.
- Young EL, Holt PJ, Poloniecki JD, Loftus IM, Thompson MM. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the relationship between surgeon annual caseload and mortality for elective open abdominal aortic aneurysm repairs. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;46(6):1287-94.
- Zevin B, Aggarwal R, Grantcharov TP. Volume-outcome association in bariatric surgery: a systematic review. *Ann Surg* 2012;256(1):60-71. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182554c62.

RIFERIMENTI BIBLIOGRAFICI DELLE REVISIONI ESCLUSE

- Abbotts J, McIntosh H. What is the published evidence of an association between hospital volume and outcome in elective carotid endarterectomy surgery? (Structured abstract). *Health Technology Assessment Database [Internet]*. 2012; (4). Disponibile all'indirizzo: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hta.32013000474/frame.html>.
- Arora KS, Khan N, Abboudi H, Khan MS, Dasgupta P, Ahmed K. Learning curves for cardiothoracic and vascular surgical procedures – a systematic review. *Postgrad Med* 2015;127(2):202-14.
- Battaglia TC, Mulhall KJ, Brown TE, Saleh KJ. Increased surgical volume is associated with lower THA dislocation rates. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2006;447:28-33.
- Bentrem DJ, Brennan MF. Outcomes in oncologic surgery: does volume make a difference? *World J Surg* 2005;29(10):1210-16.
- Betensky RA, Christian CK, Gustafson ML, Daley J, Zinner MJ. Hospital volume versus outcome: an unusual example of bivariate association. *Biometrics* 2006;62(2):598-604.
- Billimoria KY, Phillips JD, Rock CE, Hayman A, Prystowsky JB, Bentrem DJ. Effect of surgeon training, specialization, and experience on outcomes for cancer surgery: a systematic review of the literature. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2009;16(7):1799-808.
- Black PC, Brown GA, Dinney CP. Should cystectomy only be performed at high-volume hospitals by high-volume surgeons? *Curr Opin Urol* 2006;16(5):344-49.
- Burgers JS, Wittenberg J, Kallewaard M, van Croonenborg JJ, van Barneveld TA, van Everdingen JJ. Relationship between volume and quality of care for surgical interventions; results of a literature review. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2007;151(38):2105-10.
- Casson AG, van Lanschot JJ. Improving outcomes after esophagectomy: the impact of operative volume. *J Surg Oncol* 2005;92(3):262-66.
- Chang AC, Birkmeyer JD. The volume-performance relationship in esophagectomy. *Thorac Surg Clin* 2006;16(1):87-94.
- Chiara O, Cimpanassi S. Organized trauma care: does volume matter and do trauma centers save lives? *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2003;9(6):510-14.
- Chowdhury MM, Dagash H, Pierra A. A systematic review of the impact of volume of surgery and specialization on patient outcome. *Br J Surg* 2007;94(2):145-61.
- Christian CK, Gustafson ML, Betensky RA, Daley J, Zinner MJ. The volume-outcome relationship: don't believe everything you see. *World J Surg* 2005;29(10):1241-44.
- Coelho RF, Rocco B, Patel MB et al. Retropubic, laparoscopic, and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a critical review of outcomes reported by high-volume centers. *J Endourol* 2010;24(12):2003-15.
- Critchley RJ, Baker PN, Deehan DJ. Does surgical volume affect outcome after primary and revision knee arthroplasty? A systematic review of the literature. *Knee* 2012;19(5):513-18.
- de Rooij T, Lu M, Steen W et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of minimally invasive versus open pancreatoduodenectomy. *HPB* 2016;18(S1):e37. doi: 10.1016/j.hpb.2016.02.094.
- Dikken JL, Stiekema J, van de Velde CJ et al. Quality of care indicators for the surgical treatment of gastric cancer: a systematic review. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013;20(2):381-98.
- D'souza MA, Singh K, Shrikhande SV. Surgery for gastric cancer: an evidence-based perspective. *J Cancer Res Ther* 2009;5(4):225-31.
- Ellison L. The critical limitations of volume-outcome analysis. *Urol Oncol* 2009;27(4):422-26.
- Engineer LD, Winters BD, Weston CM et al. Hospital Characteristics and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Inpatient Quality Indicators: A Systematic Review. *J Healthc Qual* 2016;38(5):304-13.
- Farjoodi P, Skolasky RL, Riley LH. The effects of hospital and surgeon volume on postoperative complications after Lumbar Spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2011;36(24):2069-75.
- Finlayson EVA, Birkmeyer JD. The association between provider volume and characteristics and patient outcomes in colorectal surgery. *Seminars Colon & Rectal Surgery* 2003;14(1):3-61.
- Finlayson SR. The volume-outcome debate revisited. *Am Surg* 2006;72(11):1038-42; discussion: 1061-69, 1133-48.
- Fitzgerald C, Timon C. The volume-outcome relationship in thyroid surgery—a systematic review. *Ir J Med Sci* 2016;185:5160.
- Gazoni LM, Speir AM, Kron IL, Fonner E, Crosby IK. Elective thoracic aortic aneurysm surgery: better outcomes from high-volume centers. *J Am Coll Surg* 2010;210(5):855-60.
- Greiner A, Jacobs MJ. Aortic centers. *Gefasschirurgie* 2012;17(5):317-22.
- Hackert T, Büchler MW, Werner J. Current state of surgical management of pancreatic cancer. *Cancers* 2011;3(1):1253-73.
- Hackert T, Büchler MW, Werner J. Surgical options in the management of pancreatic cancer. *Minerva Chir* 2009;64(5):465-76.
- Hackert T, Büchler MW. Pancreatic cancer standards of surgical therapy. *Viszeral Gastrointest Med Surg* 2010;26(2):97-102.
- Hébert-Croteau N, Roberge D, Brisson J. Provider's volume and quality of breast cancer detection and treatment. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007;105(2):117-32.
- Hechenbleikner EM, Buckley JC, Wick EC. Acquired rectourethral fistulas in adults: a systematic review of surgical repair techniques and outcomes. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2013;56(3):374-83.
- Heil J, Geraldine Rauch G, Szabo AZ et al. Breast Cancer Mastectomy Trends Between 2006 and 2010: Association with Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Immediate Breast Reconstruction, and Hospital Volume. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013;20(12):3839-46. doi: 10.1245/s10434-013-3097-0
- Hogan AM, Winter DC. Does practice make perfect? *Ann Surg Oncol* 2008;15(5):1267-70.
- Hussain A. Difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy: current evidence and strategies of management. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2011;21(4):211-17.
- Image-guided left ventricular lead placement in cardiac resynchronization therapy for patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis. *Health Policy* 2015;119(8):1055-67.
- Jimenez-Rivera C, Jolin-Dahel KS, Fortinsky KJ, Gozdyra P, Benchimol EI. International incidence and outcomes of biliary atresia: A systematic review of population-based studies. *Journal of Hepatology* 2012;56 Suppl 2:S544-45.
- Jimenez-Rivera C, Jolin-Dahel KS, Fortinsky KJ, Gozdyra P, Benchimol EI. International incidence and outcomes of biliary atresia. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2013;56(4):344-54.
- Johnston MJ, Arora S, King D et al. A systematic review to identify the factors that affect failure to rescue and escalation of care in surgery. *Surgery* 2015;157(4):752-63.
- Joudi FN, Konety BR. The impact of provider volume on outcomes from urological cancer therapy. *J Urol* 2005;174(2):432-38.
- Karthikesalingam A, Hincliffe RJ, Loftus IM, Thompson MM, Holt PJ. Volume-outcome relationships in vascular surgery: the current status. *J Endovasc Ther* 2010;17(3):356-65.
- Keck T, Makowiec F, Adam U, Hopt UT. Does hospital volume have influence on the results of pancreatic surgery? *Zentralbl Chir* 2007;132(1):26-31.
- Khuri SF, Henderson WG. The case against volume as a measure of quality of surgical care. *World J Surg* 2005;29(10):1222-29.
- Kidher E, Seppehriour A, Punjabi P, Athanasiou T. Do bigger hospitals or busier

- surgeons do better adult aortic or mitral valve operations? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2010;10(4):605-10.
- Koelemay MJ, Vahl AC. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the relationship between volume and outcome in abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. *Br J Surg* 2007;94(8):1041; author reply: 1041.
 - Koy T, Konig DP, Eysel P. Effects of hospital and surgeon procedure volume on outcome in total hip replacement. *Z Orthop Unfall* 2007;145(3):291-96.
 - Kraus TW, Büchler MW, Herfarth C. Relationships between volume, efficiency, and quality in surgery a delicate balance from managerial perspectives. *World J Surg* 2005;29(10):1234-40.
 - La Torre M, Nigri G, Ferrari L, Cosenza G, Ravaioli M, Ramacciato G. Hospital volume, margin status, and long-term survival after pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Am Surg* 2012;78(2):225-29.
 - Langer B. Role of volume outcome data in assuring quality in HPB surgery. *HPB (Oxford)* 2007;9(5):330-34.
 - Le Pimpec-Barthes F, Bagan P, Hubsch JP, Bry X, Pereira Das Neves JC, Riquet M. Evaluation of thoracic surgical practice. The impact of specialisation and the effect of volume on the results of cancer treatment: resectability, post-operative mortality, and long-term survival. *Rev Mal Respir* 2006;23(4) Suppl:13573-85.
 - Loy J, Cota A, Finlay IG. Null a systematic review of the relationship between volume and outcome in bariatric surgery. *Obesity Surgery* 2012;22(8):1196.
 - Lyman S, Jones EC, Bach PB, Peterson MG, Marx RG. The association between hospital volume and total shoulder arthroplasty outcomes. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2005;432:132-37.
 - Maruthappu M, Gilbert BJ, El-Harasis MA et al. The influence of volume and experience on individual surgical performance: a systematic review. *Ann Surg* 2015;261(4):642-47.
 - Mayer EK, Bottle A, Athanasiou T, Darzi AW, Vale JA. Volume-outcome relationship in surgical urology: myth or reality? *J Clin Urol* 2008;1(2):50-57.
 - McIntosh H. What is the published evidence of an association between hospital volume and operative mortality for surgical repair (open and endovascular) of unruptured and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms? (Structured abstract). *Health Technology Assessment Database [Internet]*. 2012; (4). Disponibile all'indirizzo: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hta.1201>.
 - Mercado MA, Dominguez I. Classification and management of bile duct injuries. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2011;3(4):43-48.
 - Meredith DS, Katz JN. Procedure volume as a quality measure for total joint replacement. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2007;25(6) Suppl 47:37-43.
 - Mesman R, Westert GP, Berden BJ, Faber MJ. Why do high-volume hospitals achieve better outcomes? A systematic review about intermediate factors in volume-outcome relationships. *Health Policy* 2015;119(8):1055-67.
 - Metzger R, Bollschweiler E, Vallbohmer D, Maish M, DeMeester TR, Holscher AH. High volume centers for esophagectomy: what is the number needed to achieve low postoperative mortality? *Dis Esophagus* 2004;17(4):310-14.
 - Michalski CW, Weitz J, Buchler MW. Surgery insight: surgical management of pancreatic cancer. *Nat Clin Pract Oncol* 2007;4(9):526-35.
 - Miyata H, Motomura N, Kondo J, Takamoto S, Hasegawa T. Improving the quality of healthcare in Japan: a systematic review of procedural volume and outcome literature. *Biosci Trends* 2007;1(2):81-89.
 - Muray GD, Teasdale GM. The relationship between volume and health outcomes – a review. *Scott Med J* 2006;51(1):17-22.
 - Nathens AB, Maier RV. The relationship between trauma center volume and outcome. *Adv Surg* 2001;35:61-75.
 - Ng T, Vezeridis MP. Advances in the surgical treatment of esophageal cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2010;101(8):725-29.
 - Nijboer A, Schnitzbauer AA, Ulrich F, Bechstein W. Volume-outcome relationship in organ transplantation-a systematic review. *Transplant International* 2013;26:192.
 - Panaich SS, Patel N, Agnihotri K et al. Volume-outcome relationship for peripheral endovascular interventions: a review of existing literature. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res* 2016;16(1):103-09.
 - Peeters KCMJ, van de Velde CJ. Surgical quality assurance in rectal cancer treatment: the key to improved outcome. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2005;31(6):630-35.
 - Pennathur A, Luketich JD. Resection for esophageal cancer: strategies for optimal management. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;85(2):S751-56.
 - Pettit SJ, Jhund PS, Hawkins NM et al. How small is too small? A systematic review of center volume and outcome after cardiac transplantation. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2012;5(6):783-90. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.112.966630.
 - Peyronnet B, Couapel JP, Patard JJ, Bensalah K. Relationship between surgical volume and outcomes in nephron-sparing surgery. *Curr Opin Urol* 2014;24(5):453-58.
 - Pieper D, Mathes T, Neugebauer E, Eikermann M. State of evidence on the relationship between high-volume hospitals and outcomes in surgery: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013;216(5):1015-25.e18.
 - Post PN, Wittenberg J, Burgers JS. Do specialized centers and specialists produce better outcomes for patients with chronic diseases than primary care generalists? A systematic review. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2009;21(6):387-96.
 - Renzulli P, Laffer UT. Learning curve: the surgeon as a prognostic factor in colorectal cancer surgery. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 2005;165:86-104.
 - Ricci C, Casadei R, Lazzarini E et al. Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy in Italy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *HBPD INT* 2014;13(5):458-63.
 - Rosso C, Samson Y. The ischemic penumbra: The location rather than the volume of recovery determines outcome. *Curr Opin Neur* 2014;27(1):35-41.
 - Saratzis A, Nduwayo S, Bath MF, Sidloff D, Sayers RD, Bown MJ. Impact of hospital volume on outcomes following treatment of thoracic aortic aneurysms and type-B dissections. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2016;23(3):477-85.
 - Shahian DM, Normand SL. The volume-outcome relationship: from Luft to Leapfrog. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;75(3):1048-58.
 - Singh JA, Kundukulam J, Riddle DL, Strand V, Tugwell P. Early postoperative mortality following joint arthroplasty: A systematic review. *J Rheumatol* 2011;38(7):1507-13.
 - Singh R, O'Brien TS. The relationship between volume and outcome in urological surgery. *BJU Int* 2004;93(7):931-35.
 - Skinner EC, Stein JP, Skinner DG. Surgical benchmarks for the treatment of invasive bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol* 2007;25(1):66-71.
 - Smout J, MacDonald S, Weir G, Stansby G. Carotid artery stenting: relationship between experience and complication rate. *Int J Stroke* 2010;5(6):477-82.
 - Snider RL, Laskey WK. Quality management and volume-related outcomes in the cardiac catheterization laboratory. *Cardiol Clin* 2006;24(2):287-97, vii.
 - Steinbruchel DA, Ravn JB. The connection between hospital volume and outcome in thorax surgery. *Ugeskr Laeger* 2006;168(15):1524-26.
 - Strom J, Wimmer N, Wasfy J, Yeh R. Re-examining the operator volume-outcomes relationship in percutaneous coronary intervention: A systematic review. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63(12):A1700.
 - Tol JA, van Gulik TM, Busch OR, Gouma DJ. Centralization of highly complex low-volume procedures in upper gastrointestinal surgery. A summary of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Dig Surg* 2012;29(5):374-83.
 - Tuttle TM, Burke EE. Breast cancer mastectomy trends between 2006 and 2010: Association with magnetic resonance imaging, immediate breast reconstruction, and hospital volume: Heil J, Rauch G, Szabo AZ et al (Univ of Heidelberg, Germany; et al) *Ann Surg Oncol* 20:3839-3846, 2013. *Breast Dis* 2014;25(2):142-43.
 - Urbach DR, Croxford R, MacCallum NL, Stukel TA. How are volume-outcome associations related to models of health care funding and delivery? A comparison of the United States and Canada. *World J Surg* 2005;29(10):1230-33.
 - van Heek NT, Kuhlmann KF, Scholten RJ et al. Centralisation of pancreatic resection: a systematic review and evaluation in the Netherlands. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2006;150(14):791-98.
 - Vethrus M, Sondenaa K. Hospital volume does not affect clinical outcome. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2007;33(9):1049-51.
 - Vogel TR, Dombrovskiy VY, Haser PB, Graham AM. Carotid artery stenting: Impact of practitioner specialty and volume on outcomes and resource utilization. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;49(5):1166-71.
 - Wronski K. Total gastrectomy is a typical highly specialized procedure. *Wspolczesna Onkologia* 2007;11(6):305-03.